

ハミルトン C_k -Bowtie デザイン

潮 和彦*

Hamilton C_k -Bowtie Designs

Kazuhiko USHIO*

In graph theory, the decomposition problem of graphs is a very important topic. Various type of decompositions of many graphs can be seen in the literature of graph theory. This paper gives a Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition of the complete multi-graph λK_n .

Key words: Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition, Complete multi-graph, Graph theory

1 Introduction

Let K_n denote the complete graph of n vertices. The complete multi-graph λK_n is the complete graph K_n in which every edge is taken λ times. Let C_k be the k -cycle (or the cycle on k vertices). The C_k -bowtie is a graph of 2 edge-disjoint C_k 's with a common vertex and the common vertex is called the center of the C_k -bowtie. In particular, a C_k -bowtie satisfying $n = 2(k - 1) + 1$ is called the Hamilton C_k -bowtie because the C_k -bowtie spans λK_n .

When λK_n is decomposed into edge-disjoint sum of Hamilton C_k -bowties, we say that λK_n has a Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition. This Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition of λK_n is called a Hamilton C_k -bowtie design.

In this paper, it is shown that the necessary condition for the existence of a Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition of λK_n is (i) $n = 2(k - 1) + 1$ and (ii) $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ for even k , $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for odd k . Decomposition algorithms are also given.

It is a well-known result that K_n has a C_3 decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. This decomposition is known as a Steiner triple system. See Colbourn and Rosa[2] and Wallis[15]. Horák and Rosa[3] proved that K_n has a C_3 -bowtie decomposition if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $9 \pmod{12}$. This decomposition is known as a C_3 -bowtie system. For the design theory, see Colbourn[1], Lindner[4], and Ushio[5]. For the graph decomposition, see Ushio[6-7], Ushio and Fujimoto[8-14].

2 Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition of λK_n

Notation. We consider the vertex set V of λK_n as $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We denote a Hamilton C_k -bowtie passing through $v_1 - v_2 - v_3 - \dots - v_k - v_1, v_1 - v_{k+1} - v_{k+2} - \dots - v_{2k-1} - v_1$ by $H = (v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k) \cup (v_1, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_{2k-1})$.

Theorem 1. If λK_n has a Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition, then (i) $n = 2(k - 1) + 1$ and (ii) $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$ for even k , $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for odd k .

Theorem 2. If λK_n has a Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition, then $(s\lambda)K_n$ has a Hamilton C_k -bowtie decomposition for every s .

Theorem F. (Fermat) Let p be prime and a be integer. Then $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$.

Corollary F1. Let p be prime and $(a, p) = 1$. Then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Corollary F2. Let p be prime and $(a, p) = 1$. Then $sa^{p-1} \equiv s \pmod{p}$ for $1 \leq s \leq p - 1$.

Definition. When $sa^{n-1} \equiv s \pmod{n}$, let $a_i = sa^{i-1} \pmod{n}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) for $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$. Find the first i ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$) such that $a_i = s$. Put the i be L . Then the sequence $a_1 (= s), a_2 (= sa), a_3 (= sa^2), \dots, a_L (= s)$ is called an L -orbit starting s . When there exist $(n - 1)$ L -orbits starting $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, we say that n admits L -orbits.

Note. Let p be prime. It is a widely known result that p admits p -orbits and that a is called a primitive root w.r.t. mod p . In particular, the least a denoted g is called the least primitive root w.r.t mod p .

Example F.1. (p, g) table.

$(p, g) = (2, 1), (3, 2), (5, 2), (7, 3), (11, 2), (13, 2), (17, 3), (19, 2), (23, 5), (29, 2), (31, 3), (37, 2),$

平成20年6月21日受理

* 情報学科 Department of Informatics, School of Science and Engineering, Kinki University, Osaka 577-8502, JAPAN E-mail: ushio@info.kindai.ac.jp

(41, 6), (43, 3), (47, 5), (53, 2), (59, 2), (61, 2),
 (67, 2), (71, 7), (73, 5), (79, 3), (83, 2), (89, 3), (97, 5),
 (101, 2), (103, 5), (107, 2), (109, 6), (113, 3), (127, 3),
 (131, 2), (137, 3), (139, 2), (149, 2), (151, 6), (157, 5),
 (163, 2), (167, 5), (173, 2), (179, 2), (181, 2), (191, 19),
 (193, 5), (197, 2), (199, 3), (211, 2), (223, 3), (227, 2),
 (229, 6), (233, 3), (239, 7), (241, 7), (251, 6), (257, 3),
 (263, 5), (269, 2), (271, 6), (277, 5), (281, 3), (283, 3),
 (293, 2), (307, 5), (311, 17), (313, 10), (317, 2),
 (331, 3), (337, 10), (347, 2), (349, 2), (353, 3), (359, 7),
 (367, 6), (373, 2), (379, 2), (383, 5), (389, 2), (397, 5),
 (401, 3), (409, 21), (419, 2), (421, 2), (431, 7), (433, 5),
 (439, 15), (443, 2), (449, 3), (457, 13), (461, 2),
 (463, 3), (467, 2), (479, 13), (487, 3), (491, 2), (499, 7),
 (503, 5), (509, 2), (521, 3), (523, 2), (541, 2), (547, 2),
 (557, 2), (563, 2), (569, 3), (571, 3), (577, 5), (587, 2),
 (593, 3), (599, 7), (601, 7), (607, 3), (613, 2), (617, 3),
 (619, 2), (631, 3), (641, 3), (643, 11), (647, 5), (653, 2),
 (659, 2), (661, 2), (673, 5), (677, 2), (683, 5), (691, 3),
 (701, 2), (709, 2), (719, 11), (727, 5), (733, 6), (739, 3),
 (743, 5), (751, 3), (757, 2), (761, 6), (769, 11), (773, 2),
 (787, 2), (797, 2), (809, 3), (811, 3), (821, 2), (823, 3),
 (827, 2), (829, 2), (839, 11), (853, 2), (857, 3), (859, 2),
 (863, 5), (877, 2), (881, 3), (883, 2), (887, 5), (907, 2),
 (911, 17), (919, 7), (929, 3), (937, 5), (941, 2), (947, 2),
 (953, 3), (967, 5), (971, 6), (977, 3), (983, 5), (991, 6),
 (997, 7).

Theorem 3. Let n be prime. When $n = 2(k-1)+1$,
 $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2k}$, and k even, λK_n has a Hamilton
 C_k -bowtie decomposition.

Example 3.1. Hamilton C_4 -bowtie of $8K_7$.

$(n, g) = (7, 3)$

n -orbit : 1, 3, 2, 6, 4, 5, 1.

$H = (7, 1, 3, 2) \cup (7, 6, 4, 5)$

$H = (7, 3, 2, 6) \cup (7, 4, 5, 1)$

$H = (7, 2, 6, 4) \cup (7, 5, 1, 3)$.

These 3 starters comprise a Hamilton C_4 -bowtie decomposition of $8K_7$.

Example 3.2. Hamilton C_6 -bowtie of $12K_{11}$.

$(n, g) = (11, 2)$

n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6, 1.

$H = (11, 1, 2, 4, 8, 5) \cup (11, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6)$

$H = (11, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10) \cup (11, 9, 7, 3, 6, 1)$

$H = (11, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9) \cup (11, 7, 3, 6, 1, 2)$

$H = (11, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7) \cup (11, 3, 6, 1, 2, 4)$

$H = (11, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3) \cup (11, 6, 1, 2, 4, 8)$.

These 5 starters comprise a Hamilton C_6 -bowtie decomposition of $12K_{11}$.

Example 3.3. Hamilton C_{10} -bowtie of $20K_{19}$.

$(n, g) = (19, 2)$

n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1.

$H = (19, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9)$

$\cup (19, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10)$

$H = (19, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18)$

$\cup (19, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1)$

$H = (19, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17)$

$\cup (19, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1, 2)$

$H = (19, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15)$

$\cup (19, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1, 2, 4)$

$H = (19, 16, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11)$

$\cup (19, 3, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1, 2, 4, 8)$

$H = (19, 13, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3)$

$\cup (19, 6, 12, 5, 10, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16)$

$H = (19, 7, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6)$

$\cup (19, 12, 5, 10, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13)$

$H = (19, 14, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12)$

$\cup (19, 5, 10, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7)$

$H = (19, 9, 18, 17, 15, 11, 3, 6, 12, 5)$

$\cup (19, 10, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 13, 7, 14)$.

These 9 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{10} -bowtie decomposition of $20K_{19}$.

Example 3.4. Hamilton C_{12} -bowtie of $24K_{23}$.

$(n, g) = (23, 5)$

n -orbit : 1, 5, 2, 10, 4, 20, 8, 17, 16, 11, 9, 22, 18, 21, 13, 19, 3, 15, 6, 7, 12, 14, 1.

11 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{12} -bowtie decomposition of $24K_{23}$.

Example 3.5. Hamilton C_{16} -bowtie of $32K_{31}$.

$(n, g) = (31, 3)$

n -orbit : 1, 3, 9, 27, 19, 26, 16, 17, 20, 29, 25, 13, 8, 24, 10, 30, 28, 22, 4, 12, 5, 15, 14, 11, 2, 6, 18, 23, 7, 21, 1.

15 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{16} -bowtie decomposition of $32K_{31}$.

Example 3.6. Hamilton C_{22} -bowtie of $44K_{43}$.

$(n, g) = (43, 3)$

n -orbit : 1, 3, 9, 27, 38, 28, 41, 37, 25, 32, 10, 30, 4, 12, 36, 22, 23, 26, 35, 19, 14, 42, 40, 34, 16, 5, 15, 2, 6, 18, 11, 33, 13, 39, 31, 7, 21, 20, 17, 8, 24, 29, 1.

21 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{22} -bowtie decomposition of $44K_{43}$.

Example 3.7. Hamilton C_{24} -bowtie of $48K_{47}$.

$(n, g) = (47, 5)$

n -orbit : 1, 5, 25, 31, 14, 23, 21, 11, 8, 40, 12, 13, 18, 43, 27, 41, 17, 38, 2, 10, 3, 15, 28, 46, 42, 22, 16, 33, 24, 26, 36, 39, 7, 35, 34, 29, 4, 20, 6, 30, 9, 45, 37, 44, 32, 19, 1.

23 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{24} -bowtie decomposition of $48K_{47}$.

Example 3.8. Hamilton C_{30} -bowtie of $60K_{59}$.

$(n, g) = (59, 2)$

n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 5, 10, 20, 40, 21, 42, 25, 50, 41, 23, 46, 33, 7, 14, 28, 56, 53, 47, 35, 11, 22, 44, 29, 58, 57, 55, 51, 43, 27, 54, 49, 39, 19, 38, 17, 34, 9, 18, 36, 13, 26, 52, 45, 31, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 37, 15, 30, 1.

29 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{30} -bowtie decomposition of $60K_{59}$.

Example 3.9. Hamilton C_{34} -bowtie of $68K_{67}$.

$(n, g) = (67, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 61, 55, 43, 19, 38, 9, 18, 36,
 5, 10, 20, 40, 13, 26, 52, 37, 7, 14, 28, 56, 45, 23, 46, 25, 50,
 33, 66, 65, 63, 59, 51, 35, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 29, 58, 49, 31, 62,
 57, 47, 27, 54, 41, 15, 30, 60, 53, 39, 11, 22, 44, 21, 42, 17,
 34, 1.
 33 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{34} -bowtie decom-
 position of $68K_{67}$.

Example 3.10. Hamilton C_{36} -bowtie of $72K_{71}$.

$(n, g) = (71, 7)$
 n -orbit : 1, 7, 49, 59, 58, 51, 2, 14, 27, 47, 45, 31, 4, 28, 54,
 23, 19, 62, 8, 56, 37, 46, 38, 53, 16, 41, 3, 21, 5, 35, 32, 11,
 6, 42, 10, 70, 64, 22, 12, 13, 20, 69, 57, 44, 24, 26, 40, 67,
 43, 17, 48, 52, 9, 63, 15, 34, 25, 33, 18, 55, 30, 68, 50, 66,
 36, 39, 60, 65, 29, 61, 1.
 35 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{36} -bowtie decom-
 position of $72K_{71}$.

Example 3.11. Hamilton C_{40} -bowtie of $80K_{79}$.

$(n, g) = (79, 3)$
 n -orbit : 1, 3, 9, 27, 2, 6, 18, 54, 4, 12, 36, 29, 8, 24, 72, 58,
 16, 48, 65, 37, 32, 17, 51, 74, 64, 34, 23, 69, 49, 68, 46, 59,
 19, 57, 13, 39, 38, 35, 26, 78, 76, 70, 52, 77, 73, 61, 25, 75,
 67, 43, 50, 71, 55, 7, 21, 63, 31, 14, 42, 47, 62, 28, 5, 15, 45,
 56, 10, 30, 11, 33, 20, 60, 22, 66, 40, 41, 44, 53, 1.
 39 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{40} -bowtie decom-
 position of $80K_{79}$.

Example 3.12. Hamilton C_{42} -bowtie of $84K_{83}$.

$(n, g) = (83, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 45, 7, 14, 28, 56, 29, 58, 33,
 66, 49, 15, 30, 60, 37, 74, 65, 47, 11, 22, 44, 5, 10, 20, 40,
 80, 77, 71, 59, 35, 70, 57, 31, 62, 41, 82, 81, 79, 75, 67, 51,
 19, 38, 76, 69, 55, 27, 54, 25, 50, 17, 34, 68, 53, 23, 46, 9,
 18, 36, 72, 61, 39, 78, 73, 63, 43, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 13, 26,
 52, 21, 42, 1.
 41 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{42} -bowtie decom-
 position of $84K_{83}$.

Theorem 4. Let n be prime. When $n = 2(k-1)+1$,
 $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, and k odd, λK_n has a Hamilton C_k -
 bowtie decomposition.

Example 4.1. Hamilton C_3 -bowtie of $3K_5$.

$(n, g) = (5, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 3, 1.
 $L_1 : 1, 4, 1$ $L_2 : 2, 3, 2$.
 $H = (5, 1, 4) \cup (5, 2, 3)$.
 This starter comprises a Hamilton C_3 -bowtie decom-
 position of $3K_5$.

Example 4.2. Hamilton C_7 -bowtie of $7K_{13}$.

$(n, g) = (13, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 3, 6, 12, 11, 9, 5, 10, 7, 1.
 $L_1 : 1, 4, 3, 12, 9, 10, 1$ $L_2 : 2, 8, 6, 11, 5, 7, 2$.
 $H = (13, 1, 4, 3, 12, 9, 10) \cup (13, 2, 8, 6, 11, 5, 7)$

$H = (13, 4, 3, 12, 9, 10, 1) \cup (13, 8, 6, 11, 5, 7, 2)$
 $H = (13, 3, 12, 9, 10, 1, 4) \cup (13, 6, 11, 5, 7, 2, 8)$.

These 3 starters comprise a Hamilton C_7 -bowtie de-
 composition of $7K_{13}$.

Example 4.3. Hamilton C_9 -bowtie of $9K_{17}$.

$(n, g) = (17, 3)$
 n -orbit : 1, 3, 9, 10, 13, 5, 15, 11, 16, 14, 8, 7, 4, 12, 2, 6, 1.
 $L_1 : 1, 9, 13, 15, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1$
 $L_2 : 3, 10, 5, 11, 14, 7, 12, 6, 3$.
 $H = (17, 1, 9, 13, 15, 16, 8, 4, 2)$
 $\cup (17, 3, 10, 5, 11, 14, 7, 12, 6)$
 $H = (17, 9, 13, 15, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1)$
 $\cup (17, 10, 5, 11, 14, 7, 12, 6, 3)$
 $H = (17, 13, 15, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 9)$
 $\cup (17, 5, 11, 14, 7, 12, 6, 3, 10)$
 $H = (17, 15, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1, 9, 13)$
 $\cup (17, 11, 14, 7, 12, 6, 3, 10, 5)$.
 These 4 starters comprise a Hamilton C_9 -bowtie
 decomposition of $9K_{17}$.

Example 4.4. Hamilton C_{15} -bowtie of $15K_{29}$.

$(n, g) = (29, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 3, 6, 12, 24, 19, 9, 18, 7, 14, 28, 27,
 25, 21, 13, 26, 23, 17, 5, 10, 20, 11, 22, 15, 1.
 7 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{15} -bowtie decom-
 position of $15K_{29}$.

Example 4.5. Hamilton C_{19} -bowtie of $19K_{37}$.

$(n, g) = (37, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 27, 17, 34, 31, 25, 13, 26, 15, 30,
 23, 9, 18, 36, 35, 33, 29, 21, 5, 10, 20, 3, 6, 12, 24, 11, 22, 7,
 14, 28, 19, 1.
 9 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{19} -bowtie decom-
 position of $19K_{37}$.

Example 4.6. Hamilton C_{21} -bowtie of $21K_{41}$.

$(n, g) = (41, 6)$
 n -orbit : 1, 6, 36, 11, 25, 27, 39, 29, 10, 19, 32, 28, 4, 24,
 21, 3, 18, 26, 33, 34, 40, 35, 5, 30, 16, 14, 2, 12, 31, 22, 9,
 13, 37, 17, 20, 38, 23, 15, 8, 7, 1.
 10 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{21} -bowtie decom-
 position of $21K_{41}$.

Example 4.7. Hamilton C_{27} -bowtie of $27K_{53}$.

$(n, g) = (53, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 11, 22, 44, 35, 17, 34, 15, 30, 7,
 14, 28, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 43, 33, 13, 26, 52, 51, 49, 45, 37, 21,
 42, 31, 9, 18, 36, 19, 38, 23, 46, 39, 25, 50, 47, 41, 29, 5, 10,
 20, 40, 27, 1.
 13 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{27} -bowtie decom-
 position of $27K_{53}$.

Example 4.8. Hamilton C_{31} -bowtie of $31K_{61}$.

$(n, g) = (61, 2)$
 n -orbit : 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 35, 9, 18, 36, 11,
 22, 44, 27, 54, 47, 33, 5, 10, 20, 40, 19, 38, 15, 30, 60, 59,
 57, 53, 45, 29, 58, 55, 49, 37, 13, 26, 52, 43, 25, 50, 39, 17,

34, 7, 14, 28, 56, 51, 41, 21, 42, 23, 46, 31, 1.

15 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{31} -bowtie decomposition of $31K_{61}$.

Example 4.9. Hamilton C_{37} -bowtie of $37K_{73}$.

$(n, g) = (73, 5)$

n -orbit : 1, 5, 25, 52, 41, 59, 3, 15, 2, 10, 50, 31, 9, 45, 6, 30, 4, 20, 27, 62, 18, 17, 12, 60, 8, 40, 54, 51, 36, 34, 24, 47, 16, 7, 35, 29, 72, 68, 48, 21, 32, 14, 70, 58, 71, 63, 23, 42, 64, 28, 67, 43, 69, 53, 46, 11, 55, 56, 61, 13, 65, 33, 19, 22, 37, 39, 49, 26, 57, 66, 38, 44, 1.

18 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{37} -bowtie decomposition of $37K_{73}$.

Example 4.10. Hamilton C_{45} -bowtie of $45K_{89}$.

$(n, g) = (89, 3)$

n -orbit : 1, 3, 9, 27, 81, 65, 17, 51, 64, 14, 42, 37, 22, 66, 20, 60, 2, 6, 18, 54, 73, 41, 34, 13, 39, 28, 84, 74, 44, 43, 40, 31, 4, 12, 36, 19, 57, 82, 68, 26, 78, 56, 79, 59, 88, 86, 80, 62, 8, 24, 72, 38, 25, 75, 47, 52, 67, 23, 69, 29, 87, 83, 71, 35, 16, 48, 55, 76, 50, 61, 5, 15, 45, 46, 49, 58, 85, 77, 53, 70, 32, 7, 21, 63, 11, 33, 10, 30, 1.

22 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{45} -bowtie decomposition of $45K_{89}$.

Example 4.11. Hamilton C_{49} -bowtie of $49K_{97}$.

$(n, g) = (97, 5)$

n -orbit : 1, 5, 25, 28, 43, 21, 8, 40, 6, 30, 53, 71, 64, 29, 48, 46, 36, 83, 27, 38, 93, 77, 94, 82, 22, 13, 65, 34, 73, 74, 79, 7, 35, 78, 2, 10, 50, 56, 86, 42, 16, 80, 12, 60, 9, 45, 31, 58, 96, 92, 72, 69, 54, 76, 89, 57, 91, 67, 44, 26, 33, 68, 49, 51, 61, 14, 70, 59, 4, 20, 3, 15, 75, 84, 32, 63, 24, 23, 18, 90, 62, 19, 95, 87, 47, 41, 11, 55, 81, 17, 85, 37, 88, 52, 66, 39, 1.

24 starters comprise a Hamilton C_{49} -bowtie decomposition of $49K_{97}$.

trefoil decomposition of complete tripartite multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals* **E84-A(3)** (2001) 839–844.

9) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced foil decomposition of complete graphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals* **E84-A(12)** (2001) 3132–3137.

10) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals* **E86-A(9)** (2003) 2360–2365.

11) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie decomposition of symmetric complete multi-digraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals* **E87-A(10)** (2004) 2769–2773.

12) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced quatrefoil decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Information and Systems* **E88-D(1)** (2005) 19–22.

13) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced C_4 -bowtie decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals* **E88-A(5)** (2005) 1148–1154.

14) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced C_4 -trefoil decomposition of complete multigraphs, *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals* **E89-A(5)** (2006) 1173–1180.

15) W. D. Wallis, *Combinatorial Designs*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel (1988).

References

- 1) C. J. Colbourn, *CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press (1996).
- 2) C. J. Colbourn and A. Rosa, *Triple Systems*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1999).
- 3) P. Horák and A. Rosa, Decomposing Steiner triple systems into small configurations, *Ars Combinatoria* **26** (1988) 91–105.
- 4) C. C. Lindner, *Design Theory*, CRC Press (1997).
- 5) K. Ushio, G-designs and related designs, *Discrete Math.* **116** (1993) 299–311.
- 6) K. Ushio, Bowtie-decomposition and trefoil-decomposition of the complete tripartite graph and the symmetric complete tripartite digraph, *J. School Sci. Eng. Kinki Univ.* **36** (2000) 161–164.
- 7) K. Ushio, Balanced bowtie and trefoil decomposition of symmetric complete tripartite digraphs, *Information and Communication Studies of The Faculty of Information and Communication Bunkyo University* **25** (2000) 19–24.
- 8) K. Ushio and H. Fujimoto, Balanced bowtie and